

## Primitive elements of free Lie $p$ -algebras

G. Rakviashvili

Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

E-mail: giorgi.rakviashvili@iliauni.edu.ge

### Abstract

Let  $L$  be a finitely generated free Lie  $p$ -algebra and  $\langle a \rangle$  an ideal generated by  $a \in L$ . It is proved that  $L/\langle a \rangle$  is free if and only if  $\langle a \rangle$  is primitive (i.e.  $a$  belongs to some set of free generators of  $L$ ). Earlier analogues theorems were proved for some objects, for example, for groups, Lie algebras, free algebras and so on.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **17B99.**

Keywords. Lie  $p$ -algebras, primitive elements.

**Introduction.** It is known (1930, [4]), that if  $F$  is a finitely generated free group and  $a \in F$  then  $a$  is a primitive element (i.e.  $a$  belongs to some set of free generators of  $F$ ) if and only if  $F/\langle a \rangle$  is a free group ( $\langle a \rangle$  denotes a normal subgroup of  $F$  generated by  $a$ ). Later similar theorems were proved for Lie algebras (1970, [2]), free algebras, free commutative algebras and free anticommutative algebras (2001, [6]). Mikhalev, Shpilrain and Umirbaev in (2004, [7]) conjectured that analogous theorem for Lie  $p$ -algebras is also true. In [8] the author proved Freiheitssatz for Lie  $p$ -algebras but with its help as it seems is impossible to prove the foresaid theorem. In this paper, we prove a theorem about primitive elements of free Lie  $p$ -algebras in the same manner as in (1970, [2]) using Bokuts result from [1]. Some results of our article were announced in [9].

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , let  $F = k\langle X \rangle$  be a free associative algebra without identity with  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  as a set of free generators. We will assume that  $x_i < x_j \Leftrightarrow i > j$  and if  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are words from  $k\langle X \rangle$  then  $w_1 < w_2$  either  $\text{deg}w_1 < \text{deg}w_2$  or  $\text{deg}w_1 = \text{deg}w_2$  and  $w_1 < w_2$  lexicographically.

For  $f \in F = k\langle X \rangle$ , let  $\bar{f}$  denote a leading word of  $f$  with nonzero coefficient. We assume that the coefficient of  $\bar{f}$  is equal to one. It is clear that  $\overline{\bar{f}g} = \bar{f}\bar{g}$ .

Let  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  denotes a free Lie  $p$ -algebra over  $k$  with  $X$  as a set of free generators. A set  $Y \subset L_p\langle X \rangle$  is called  $p$ -independent [2] if  $Y$  is a set of free generators of Lie  $p$ -subalgebra of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  generated by  $Y$  (recall that any Lie  $p$ -subalgebra of free Lie  $p$ -algebra is free [12]).

We recall now several definitions and results about  $L_p\langle X \rangle$ .

A linear basis of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  are all  $p$ -proper words [2] which are formed from symbols  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . If  $L\langle X \rangle$  denotes a free Lie algebra free generated by the set  $X$ , then the proper words of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  are formal  $p^k$ -degrees of proper words of  $L\langle X \rangle$ .

We shall use the ordinary concept of degree of element from  $L_p\langle X \rangle$ ; for example if  $f = x_\alpha x_\beta + x_\gamma^p$ , then  $\text{deg}f = p$ . We assume that  $\text{deg}0 = 0$ .

Suppose  $f \in L_p\langle X \rangle$ ,  $f = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ , where  $q_i$  are  $p$ -proper words. Such a record of  $f$  is called a right form of  $f$ . An element  $f' = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i q_i$  where  $\text{deg}i = \text{deg}f$  and  $\text{deg}i < \text{deg}f$  if  $i \notin I$  is called a major part of  $f$ . Let  $\tilde{f}$  denote the major member of  $f \in L_p\langle X \rangle$  defined as a lexicographically major word among  $q_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . About these concepts see [2].

A subset  $Y \subset L_p\langle X \rangle$  is called  $p$ -reduced [3] if for any  $f \in Y$  his major part  $f'$  does not belong to Lie  $p$ -subalgebra of  $f \in L_p\langle X \rangle$  generated by major parts of all elements from  $Y \setminus \{f\}$ . We assume that the empty set is  $p$ -reduced. Let  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\} \subset L_p\langle X \rangle$  be a finite set. A map  $t : Y \rightarrow L_p\langle X \rangle$  is called elementary if for some  $j$

$$t(y_i) = y_i, \text{ if } i \neq j,$$

$$t(y_j) = \alpha y_j + \varphi_j(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m), \text{ where } y_j \text{ is missed};$$

here  $\alpha \in k$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\varphi_j$  are polynomials i.e. elements of free Lie  $p$ -algebra with  $m$  free generators.

Let  $Y'$  denotes a set of major parts of elements from  $Y'$  with respect to standard ordering considered in the beginning this paper. Put

$$l(Y) = \sum_i \text{deg}(y_i). \quad (1)$$

As we have already noted  $\text{deg}y_i$  is the length of longest word in  $y_i$  and  $\text{deg}0 = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$  be a finite set of generators of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$ . Then it exist  $l(Y) - n$  (here  $n$  is a number of free generators of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$ ) elementary maps which translate  $Y$  onto a set of generators of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  with degrees (regarding to  $X$ ) less or equal one.

*Remark 1.* This lemma was proved in [2] for Lie algebras; we prove our lemma in the same manner.

**Proof.** We may assume that  $Y$  contains at least one element; otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let us prove that  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent. Since  $Y$  generates  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  we must have

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} y_j + f_i(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m), \quad (2)$$

where  $f_i$  does not contain elements of degree one. Assume all  $f_i$  are zero:

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij} y_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

Let us compare elements with highest degrees in (3). Assume that there exists  $j_0$  such that  $\text{deg}(y_{j_0}) > 1$ ,  $\alpha_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0$ . Then

$$(x_{i_0})' = x_{i_0} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{i_0 j} y_j \right)'. \quad (4)$$

Let us denote  $J = \{j | \text{deg}(y_j) = \text{deg}(y_{j_0})\}$ , then from (4) follows

$$\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{i_0 j} y_j' = 0, \quad (5)$$

i.e.  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent because otherwise we would have  $\text{deg}(x_0) > 1$ .

On the other hand, if in (2) we have that if  $(\forall i, j)(\alpha_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ implies } \text{deg}(y_i) = 0)$ , then from (3) it follows

$$x_i' = x_i = \sum_{j \in J_i} \alpha_{ij} y_j', \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Any element from  $Y'$  is generated by elements  $x_i$ , therefore according to (5) all elements from  $Y'$ , and among those with the degrees greater one, are generated by elements  $y'_j$ ,  $j \in \bigcup_i J_i$ , i.e.  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent.

Now suppose that in (2)  $f_{i_0}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \neq 0$  for some  $i_0$ . If  $f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = 0$  then  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent. Now suppose  $f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) \neq 0$ . Let us write it as

$$f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = \sum_{j=1}^s h_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad (6)$$

where  $h_j$  is a homogeneous component of degree  $d_i$  of  $f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m)$ ,  $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_s$ . Because  $y'_i$  are homogeneous, each polynomial  $h_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  must be a polynomial of arguments  $y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m$ :

$$h_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = q_j(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m).$$

Therefore from (6) follows

$$f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = \sum_{j=1}^s q_j(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m),$$

where  $q_j(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) \neq 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , otherwise  $Y'$  would have not been  $p$ -independent; in particular  $q_s(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = 0$ . Consequently

$$(f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m))' = f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = q_s(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m).$$

From (2) follows

$$x_i = x'_i = \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_{i_0j} y_j + f_{i_0}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \right)'. \quad (7)$$

Two cases are now possible.

1.  $f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = q_s(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m)$  is contained in the major part of  $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{i_0j} y_j$ ; then because the degree of  $x_i$  is one, for some  $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  we must have (see (7)):

$$\sum_{j \in J}^m \alpha_{i_0j} y_j + q_s(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = 0.$$

i.e.  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent.

2.  $f_{i_0}(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) = q_s(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m)$  is not contained in the major part of  $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{i_0j} y_j$ ; then  $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{i_0j} y_j$  contains letters  $y_j$  such that their degree are greater than  $d_s$  and consequently, greater than one. Let  $y_j$ ,  $j \in J$  be all  $y_j$  from  $\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{i_0j} y_j$  (of course with nonzero coefficients) having the highest degree; then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{i_0j} y_j = 0$$

because  $\deg(x_{i_0}) = 1$  (see (7), i.e.  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent). So we have considered all cases and have proved that  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent. In ([2], Lemma 2) was proved that a  $p$ -reduced subset of free Lie  $p$ -algebra is  $p$ -independent. From the above lemma follows, because  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -independent,

that  $Y'$  is not  $p$ -reduced. Therefore there exists an element  $y'_{j_0} \in (Y')' = Y'$  such that  $y'_{j_0}$  is contained in a  $p$ -subalgebra of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  generated by a set  $Y' \setminus \{y'_{j_0}\}$  i.e.

$$y'_{j_0} = q(y'_1, \dots, \hat{y}', \dots, y'_m), \quad (8)$$

where  $q(y'_1, \dots, \hat{y}', \dots, y'_m)$  does not contain  $y'_{j_0}$ . Consequently a map

$$y_i^{(1)} = y_i, i \neq j_0, y_{j_0}^{(1)} = y_{j_0} - q(y'_1, \dots, \hat{y}', \dots, y'_m) \quad (9)$$

reduces  $l(Y)$  (see (1)). Indeed from (8) follows that  $q(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}', \dots, y_m) \neq 0$  and therefore

$$y_{j_0} = q(y'_1, \dots, \hat{y}', \dots, y'_m) = q(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}, \dots, y_m)',$$

i.e. (9) reduces  $l(Y)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Assume a set  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\}$  generates  $L\langle X \rangle$  and  $\deg_X z_i \leq 1$ . If  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m_0}\}$  is a maximal linearly independent subset of  $Z$ , then there exist  $m - m_0$  elementary maps which transform  $Z$  onto the set  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m_0}, 0, \dots, 0\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $z_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{ij} z_i, i = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots, m$ . Then it is clear that the sought maps are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}_i &= z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \tilde{z}_i &= z_i - \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \alpha_{ij} z_j, i = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $F = k\langle X \rangle$  is the free associative algebra over set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  without identity (of course  $X \subset F$ ). For  $a \in F$ , let  $\langle a \rangle$  be an ideal of  $F$  generated by  $a$ . It is clear that  $a \in \langle a \rangle$ . Let  $\bar{a}$  be the major word of  $a$ .

**Lemma 3.** If  $a, b \in k\langle X \rangle$  and  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ , then  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent.

**Proof.** If either  $\langle a \rangle$  or  $\langle b \rangle$  are zero, our proposition of course is valid. So we may assume that  $a, b \neq 0$ . From [1] follows that if  $x \in \langle a \rangle$ , then  $\bar{a}$  is a subword of  $\bar{x}$ . Therefore  $\bar{a}$  is a subword of  $\bar{b}$  and, conversely,  $\bar{b}$  is a subword of  $\bar{a}$  and consequently  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ . Suppose

$$a = \alpha \bar{a} + \dots, b = \beta \bar{b}; \alpha, \beta \in k, \alpha, \beta \neq 0.$$

Consider the element  $c = a - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} b \in \langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ . If  $c \neq 0$ , then  $\bar{c}$  is less than  $\bar{a}$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{a}$  is a subword of  $\bar{c}$  - contradiction, so  $c = 0$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $F_1 = k\langle X \rangle_1$  be a free associative algebra with identity which is freely generated by  $X$ . Suppose  $a, b \in F_1$  and  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ . Then  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent.

**Proof.** This is clear since  $\langle a \rangle$  is an ideal in  $F = k\langle X \rangle$  if and only if  $\langle a \rangle$  is the ideal in  $F_1 = k\langle X \rangle_1$ .

Let  $\langle a \rangle$  denote an ideal of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  generated by  $a \in L_p\langle X \rangle$  (we assume  $a \in \langle a \rangle$ ) and let  $\bar{a}$  be the major word of  $a$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle \subseteq L_p\langle X \rangle$ . Then  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent.

**Proof.** As is well known,  $u(L_p(X)) = k\langle X \rangle_1 = F_1$  (here  $u(L_p(X))$  is a restricted universal enveloping algebra of  $L_p(X)$ ). Let  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  be the ideals in  $F_1 = k\langle X \rangle_1$ , generated, respectively by  $a$  and  $b$ . It is clear that

$$\langle a \rangle_1 = \langle b \rangle_1 \subseteq F\langle X \rangle,$$

then according to Lemma 3 the elements  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent.

**Definition.** An element  $a \in L_p\langle X \rangle$  is primitive if there exist a set  $Y$  of free generators of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  such that  $a \in Y$ .

**Theorem.**  $L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle$  is free if and only if  $a$  is primitive in  $L_p\langle X \rangle$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that if  $a$  is primitive then  $L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle$  is free and let us prove that  $a$  is primitive.

Let us denote  $\bar{L} = L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle$ . It is clear that  $\dim \bar{L}/\bar{L}^2 \geq n - 1$ . Indeed

$$\bar{L}/\bar{L}^2 = (L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle)/(L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle)^2 \cong L_p\langle X \rangle/(L_p\langle X \rangle^2 + \langle a \rangle);$$

but last term as  $k$ -vector space is isomorphic to  $(kx_1 + kx_2 + \dots + kx_n + \langle a \rangle)/\langle a \rangle$ , which implies that  $\dim(\bar{L}/\bar{L}^2) \geq n - 1$ .

On the other hand,  $L_p\langle X \rangle$  is generalized nilpotent, i.e. intersection all its degrees is zero. According to [5] all generalized nilpotent algebras are Hopf type, i.e. they are not isomorphic to their proper factor-algebras. Consequently,

$$\text{rank } \bar{L} = \text{rank}(L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle) \leq n - 1.$$

However, if  $\text{rank}(\bar{L}) \leq n - 1$ , then  $\text{rank}(\bar{L}/\bar{L}^2) < n - 1$ ; so  $\text{rank}(\bar{L}) = n - 1$  and there exist a set of free generators  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$  for  $\bar{L}$ . The set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  generates  $\bar{L}$  and by to Lemma 1 there exist elementary maps which transform  $\bar{X}$  in a set of generators  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r\}$  of  $\bar{L}$  such that degrees of  $z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  with respect  $Y$  are not greater than one. By lemma 2 there exist elementary maps which transform  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r\}$  onto  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{r_0}, 0, \dots, 0\}$ , where  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{r_0}\}$  is a maximal linearly independent set in  $L$ . It is clear that  $r_0 = n - 1$  and number of zeros in  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{r_0}, 0, \dots, 0\}$  is one, therefore some elementary maps transform  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{r_0}, 0\}$  on  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{r_0}, 0\}$  (if this set contains only zero then  $n = 1$ ). Therefore we may assume that there exist elementary maps  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_s$  which transform  $\bar{X} = \{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$  onto  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{r_0}, 0\}$ . The elements  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  are preimages of  $\bar{X} = \{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ . The maps  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_s$  transform  $X$  on a set  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$  of free generators of  $L_p\langle X \rangle$ . Let us consider a projection  $\pi : L_p\langle X \rangle \rightarrow L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle$ . From a commutative diagram below it is clear that  $\pi(t_n) = 0$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}, 0\} \end{array},$$

where vertical maps are equal to composition  $\varphi$  of the maps  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_s$ . So  $t_n \in \langle a \rangle$ , i.e.  $\langle t_n \rangle \in \langle a \rangle$ . In fact,  $\langle t_n \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ . Indeed let us consider an algebra  $L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle t_n \rangle$ . It is free. As  $\langle t_n \rangle \in \langle a \rangle$  so

$$(L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle t_n \rangle)/(\langle a \rangle/\langle t_n \rangle) \cong L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle.$$

As  $L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle t_n \rangle$  and  $L_p\langle X \rangle/\langle a \rangle$  are free Lie  $p$ -algebras with  $n - 1$  generators, and free Lie  $p$ -algebras are Hopf type algebras we must have  $\langle a \rangle/\langle t_n \rangle = 0$ , i.e.  $\langle a \rangle = \langle t_n \rangle$ . Then from Corollary 2 follows that  $a = \alpha t_n$  for some  $\alpha \in k$ , i.e.  $a$  is primitive.

*Remark 2.* We assume that the other results from [2] can be proved in the same way.

*Remark 3.* J. P. Serre has proved the following theorem ([11], [10]):

**Theorem (Serre).** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $G$  be a group having no  $R$ -torsion. If  $H$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $G$ , then  $cd_R G = cd_R H$ .

We assume that an analogous statement about Lie  $p$ -algebras is also valid: let  $L$  be a Lie  $p$ -algebra such that restricted universal algebras of all finite Lie  $p$ -subalgebras of  $L$  are semisimple. If  $H$  is a Lie  $p$ -subalgebra of finite index in  $L$ , then  $cd_L G = cd_R H$ .

**Acknowledgment.** This work is partially supported by GNSF grant FR/307/5-113/13.

## References

- [1] L. A. Bokut, *Embeddings in prime associative algebras*, Algebra i Logica, 15(2) (1976), 117–142 (in Russ.).
- [2] G. P. Kukin, *Primitive elements of free Lie algebras*, Algebra i Logica, 9(4) (1970), 458–472 (in Russ.).
- [3] G. P. Kukin, *On subalgebras of free Lie  $p$ -algebras*, Algebra i Logica 11(5) (1972) 535–550 (in Russ.).
- [4] W. Magnus, *Über diskontinuierliche Gruppen mit einer definierten Relation (Der Freiheitssatz)*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 163 (1930), 141–165.
- [5] A. I. Malcev, *On algebras with identity relations*, Mat. Sborn. 26/1 (1950), 19–33 (Russ.).
- [6] A. A. Mikhalev, U. U. Umirbaev and J.-T. Yu, *Automorphic orbits in free nonassociative algebras*. J. Algebra, 243 (2001), 198–223.
- [7] A. A. Mikhalev, V. Shpilrain and U. U. Umirbaev, *On isomorphism of Lie algebras with one defining relations*. Int. J. Algebra Comput. 14(3) (2004), 389–394.
- [8] G. Rakviashvili, *Combinatorial aspects of free associative algebras and cohomologies of Lie  $p$ -algebras with one defining relation*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences 160(6) (2009), 822–832.
- [9] G. Rakviashvili, *Primitive elements of free Lie  $p$ -algebras*, Bull. of the Georgian Academy of Sciences, 8(2) (2014), 14–18.
- [10] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie des groupes discrets* Ann. Math. Studies 70 (1971), 77–169
- [11] R. G. Swan, *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, J. of Algebra 12 (1969), 585–604.
- [12] E. Witt, *Die Unterringe freien Lieschen Ringe*. Math. Z. 64(2) (1956), 195–216.